

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,269	03/31/2004	Lawrence Shah	A8700	5356
23373 7	590 03/24/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			4/6		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/813,269	SHAH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	*		
	Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communic NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08.	September 2005.				
· <u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	·		ts is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-116</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	•			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-15	2.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:		(a)-(d) or (f).			
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		<u> </u>	•		
application from the International Burea	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ved.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/813,269

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to the remarks filed on 09/08/05.

Claims 1-116 are pending.

After thoroughly considering the remarks, the previous restriction requirement has been withdrawn and a new restriction requirement is generated because the group claims recite different subject matters as following:

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I Claims 1-31 and 48-79: monitoring the wavelength, pulsewidth and variable repetition rate of the pulses.
 - II Claims 32-47: monitoring the temporal delay of the pulses.
 - III Claims 80-94: monitoring the polarization of the pulses.
 - IV Claims 95-116: monitoring characteristics of the pulses.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,269

Art Unit: 2828

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,269 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 19:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Dung Nguyen

Michael Juy M

03/17/06